



Program Development Work Group

April 1, 2021

10:30 a.m. - noon

Zoom Meeting

Meeting #9

The Program Development Work Group did not meet in March

Facilitators: Walt Peck, Kristin Burke

Attending: Dave Mowry, Dustin Sluman, Hannah Studer, J. Sean Fields, Jeremy Koehler, Kathy Prenevost, Katrina McPherson, Matt Conrad, Steven Youngs, Tristan Sundsted, Naomi Hunsaker, Aika Fallstrom, Kelly Cheney, Nick Ocon

MEETING SUMMARY

1. Welcome and	The overview mentioned items discussed in past meetings
overview	that we've paid attention to:
	Social determinates of health
	Outreach to diverse communities
	Incorporate existing community services and look for
	gaps
	Acknowledge funding and fee reimbursement
	challenges that exist in the SUD treatment world
	Think about how the criminal justice system will be
	integrated and anticipate the ramifications of Measure
	110
	Anticipate the challenges of siting this type of facility
	and the politics behind that
	 Consider how to scale this project
	County leadership is becoming increasingly aware of this work
	as we provide briefings and updates.
	Today we'll discuss project updates, an implementation plan,
	communications that have started, key dates and next steps.
	Reminder of the foundational values and objectives that this
	group established:
	Go big, carefully
	Lead with race and equity
	 Develop in a phased manner





	Anchor with the Building Blocks
	The Building Blocks were reviewed. These are also available on the CATT website: <u>www.co.washington.or.us/CATT</u> under "Project Documents."
2. Project Updates	<u>Facility Planning: reviewed work already done</u> Service Grouping – defined what services should be offered at the CATT and further prioritized these if we must build the CATT in phases.
	CATT Buildings – space planning took place for the five buildings in an ideal model. This work included square footage estimates, which services would be housed in which buildings, and helped inform the cost estimates for the feasibility study.
	<u>Financial Analysis of the campus model</u> Operational funding includes all the currently identified funding sources that would pay for the cost to operate the CATT.
	Capital funding includes the secured funds that Behavioral Health has available for the project, plus other possible financial resources that could go towards the cost to build the CATT.
	PDWG Member Comments : The funding cost analysis makes sense.
	Some discussion on return on investment and tracking measurable outcomes. These topics will be long-term discussions. We would reach out to the Oregon Health Authority and the Drug and Alcohol Policy Commission for measurable outcomes and the broad impacts on the community.
	We want to build a program that is viable and financially sound and want to demonstrate how this can happen in the feasibility study.
	This is the type of project that Health Share would expect the County's reserves in Behavioral Health would be spent on.





3. Implementation Plan	Phased Approach
	We are suggesting a phased approach to the ideal model,
	building out as money becomes available.
	Dhees hubbersive Convises local sectors at the
	Phase I: Intensive Services Implementation. This
	accommodates all core services and land acquisition.
	Phase II: Community Services Inclusion/TRC Remodel. This phase enhances the core services and provides a connection to CATT in south county with the Tigard Recovery Center.
	Phase III: Relocating the Hawthorn Clinic and Behavioral Health staff to the campus. Doing so enhances service access and care coordination.
	PDWG Member Comments:
	Finding land that is large enough (7.5 acres), near public
	transportation, zoned correctly, and is available to purchase is
	a challenge right now. We may need to compromise.
	When you look at the longevity of a program like this, there is going to be a significant investment. We are not asking for too much money. Equity is the entire reason we are doing this. If equity is the narrative, then the CATT will provide services to folks who have been systemically excluded or had barriers getting care. We are saying we will invest in this community because we care about this community.
	The CATT should have data and evidence/best practice
	reasons for decisions that center equity. Have these ready for inquiring minds when presenting to the Board.
	Are there buildings/land that may become available now that so many are working from home and businesses may be looking to give up their space?
	This is a significant investment and will mean a significant increase in jobs in Washington County. The CATT will bring us up to date in community holistic care. With equity as the narrative, we will bring services to people who've systematically had barriers to care.





As we pursue funding opportunities, we have to make equity clear.
At minimum we need to bring a program to fruition that will address much needed services in this area.
A discussion ensued about members of CATT committees being representative of the communities we will serve. The committee was assured that there are people of color and people with lived experience with substance use disorders represented on each committee. Additionally, to inform the feasibility study, the CATT Leadership Team made a real effort to reach out to diverse focus groups and to ask other SUD treatment programs how they provide services to diverse communities. When we go to select a provider, it will be a requirement that the provider does outreach to underserved communities.
<u>Phasing Diagram</u> This is not comprehensive enough but shows the work to be done in each phase. Each bullet will get broken up into a detailed work plan that will need Board approval.
<u>Budget Gap</u> We will need more money for each phase. Some opportunities for increasing dedicated funds are the opioid settlement, Measure 110 and we may be eligible for a federal earmark.
Since CCO dollars can't be used for capital projects, it is really important to go big since we have some money to start this. There's money out there for services, but not a lot of money to do things like this. This is an important time where there's money available and that isn't always the case. We should go big and try and leverage as much of this money as possible for a project like this.
Overall Feedback from the Steering Committee We are on the right track and this project is necessary for Washington County. The committee is drafting a letter of support for the project. The committee had mixed reactions to the cost analysis.





	Feedback from the Public Safety Coordinating CouncilMuch needed resource for the community. Offered a letter of support that will be given to the Board of Commissioners.Other Feedback from County Leadership Feasibility Study makes a strong case for the center's need. Concerns expressed about budget gaps and about the site needs assessment not being viable.Jeremy offered to approach the funders of SUD services for a letter of support. They can't develop the infrastructure with their money, but they can fund the services if we get it built.
4. Project Communications	 February 9 Board Update Newsletters published Website developed (<u>www.co.washington.or.us/CATT</u>) Outreach to local legislators Met with Representative Bonamici's office and will look for federal funding opportunities
5. Key Dates and Next Steps	 Feasibility study will be published on our website once the Board of Commissioners have the study to review. May 6 PDWG meeting will be held to discuss the ideal model vs. areas to compromise if we must. The May 11 update to the Board has been postponed to June or July (exact date TBD) to allow the Board to wrap-up the county budget and give us more time to meet with the commissioner's individually. This is a large capital project and we want to address any feedback the commissioners may have prior to the public meeting. Thanks to all for your part in getting us here. We need a little more time to do a little more work.

Next Meeting: May 6