# Program Development Work Group

December 3, 2020





# **Meeting Overview**

- Steering Committee Feedback
  - Cultural responsiveness
  - System interface
  - Clinical considerations
- Size and Scale recommendations



# Steering Committee Feedback





### **Steering Committee**

Center

Alison Noice, Executive Director CODA

Carol Greenough, Citizen Advocate Behavioral Health Council

Christina Baumann, Chief Medical Officer Washington County Public Health

Deric Weiss, Fire Chief Tualatin Valley Fire & Rescue **Gil Munoz**, Executive Director Virginia Garcia Memorial Health

Kathy McAlpine, Chief Tigard Police Department

Kevin Barton, District Attorney Washington County District Attorney's Office Kevin Mahon, Chief Clinical Officer DePaul

Kristin Powers, Regional Director Providence Health Systems

Maggie Bennington-Davis, Chief Medical Officer Health Share of Oregon

Monta Knudsen, Executive Director Bridges to Change Pat Garrett, Sheriff Washington County Sheriff's Office

Pierre Morin, Clinical Director Lutheran Community Services

Reginald Richardson, Executive Director Oregon Alcohol & Drug Policy Commission Ruth Osuna, Deputy County Administrator Washington County Administrative Office

Steve Berger, Director Washington County Community Corrections

Tony Vezina, Executive Director & Co-Founder 4D Recovery

en, Con ector

### Cultural responsiveness

- Lead with race throughout program development
- Ensure equity is a key element of the decision-making process
- Consider the unique needs of different cultural groups, don't lump communities of color together
- Ask culturally specific populations what will encourage or discourage their willingness to engage in services



### Systems interface

- Plan for an interface and partnership with criminal justice system
- Consider connections to other community resources, such as treatment programs, social services, housing, etc.
- Consider social/legal issues that can impact a person's health (housing, benefits status, etc.)
- Add financial counseling to supportive services
- Emphasize partner outreach and engagement that considers how people will move from referral sources (hospitals. businesses, jail, etc.)

6

### **Clinical considerations**

- Consider seniors and people with disabilities while planning, both for facility access and service needs
- Remove both physical and linguistical barriers
- Connect with other programs for lessons learned about the challenges of balancing an environment that is low barrier, safe, and centers on a harm reduction approach
- Weave community outreach and engagement into the program; they are essential



### **Clinical considerations**

- Include virtual platforms and other innovations developed in response to COVID-19
- Consider long-term recovery supports
- Incorporate a trauma framework throughout the development and service delivery
- Consider safety from multiple perspectives: staff, visitors, various cultures, different ages, children of clients, etc.



### Other

- Advocate for new ways of funding services that allow for more flexibility in service delivery to meet the individual's needs
- Support other organizations working to educate and create change to the state's current approach to SUD services
- In-reach to the jail and hospital EDs sounds and would be great, but it's also a huge lift
- Consider the role of **prevention** in this project



## **Client Experience:**

- Group One: How do we provide culturally responsive services to a community with many diverse cultures?
- Group Two: How do we provide low barrier access and a commitment to harm reduction while also creating a safe environment for all program participants?



# Size and Scale Recommendations





### Sobering/Alcohol/Opioids

- Only existing resources are hospital EDs and jail
- Utilization in other communities increased following outreach to law enforcement, hospitals, and other referral sources
- Admission criteria will be important
- National Sobering Collaborative is a great technical resource
- Recommendation: 8-10 beds





### Sobering/Methamphetamine

- Only existing resources are hospital EDs and jail
- Maintaining separation from Alcohol/Opioid Sobering and treatment programs will be important
- Physical environment will need to be more durable
- Length of stay will be longer
- Recommendation: 8-10 beds





#### Withdrawal Management (Detox)

- Important referral source to residential program
- OAR requirements for staffing
- Rules will impact size
- Medical costs are significant, prescriber required
- Development of pathways into detox will be important
- Recommendation: 8-16 beds





### **Residential Treatment**

- Focus on ideal milieu size to create a therapeutic environment
- Consider developing smaller service tracks within programs that address individual needs/experience in combination with common large group core programing
- Recommendation:
  - Men 24 beds
  - Women 15-20 beds
  - Flexible 15-20 beds





### **Crisis Stabilization**

- A gap filling resource; a safe place until space is available in another program
- Helps with goals of meeting people where they are and providing immediate care
- Admission criteria will be important
- Opportunity to engage individuals to other services
- Beds can flex for other needs
- Recommendation: 8-10 beds





### **Capacity Summary**

Sobering/Alcohol & Opioids 8-10 • Sobering/Methamphetamine 8-10 • Withdrawal Management/Detox 8-16 • Residential Treatment 24 Men Women 15-20 Flexible 15-20 Crisis Stabilization <u>8-10</u> • 86-104 TOTAL Center for Addictions **Triage and Treatment** 

# Discussion





# **Next Steps**

- Next meeting:
  - Thursday, January 7th
  - 10:30-Noon
  - Topic: Facility
    - Who would like to serve on a Facility work group?

# **Contact Information**

- <u>CATT@co.washington.or.us</u>
- Kristin Burke, 503-846-4563
- Kristin Burke@co.washington.or.us
- Walt Peck, 503-867-1826
- Walter Peck@co.washington.or.us